**REMARKS** 

Claim 1 requires selectively bypassing the application processor if the application

processor fails to respond within a time period. In addition, it diverts signals from the application

processor to the baseband processor.

In the cited reference there is no selectively bypassing the application processor. As clearly

pointed out in the material cited, the applications processor is always involved, even in the

situation where the auxiliary microprocessor, alleged to be the applications processor, has to turn

on the microprocessor 122, which is the baseband processor. In no case is the applications

processor ever bypassed.

If that were not enough, there is no selective bypassing and there is no select bypassing of

the application processors in response to the application processor failing to respond within a time

period.

Therefore, reconsideration is requested. On the same basis, reconsideration of the rejection

of claim 11 is also requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 23, 2010

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994

TRỐP, PẦUNER & HU, P.C.

1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750

Houston, TX 77057-2631

713/468-8880 [Phone]

713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation

5